## Fill in the light green boxes for each proposal for each category. Score categories, as appropriate, on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = Bad and 5 = Excellent

Terms and Conditions Conditions.

Acceptance of UI/Eversource Terms and Conditions.

The company's financial standing, ability of Creditworthiness & Security background.

Diversity

Diversity/Small Business

Scoring

Benefit Cost Analysis

Technical Score
Overall Score

Diversity/Small Business

Scoring

Once the items listed above have been completely met by Contractor's proposals, then a BCA shall be conducted.

Scoring

|                      |  |  |   |        |                        |  |          |          | Raw Score |          |          |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--------|------------------------|--|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
|                      | Factors  | Definition   | Specific Ranking Criteria   | Weight | Minimum Qualifications | Scale  | Vendor 1 | Vendor 2 | Vendor 3  | Vendor 4 | Vendor 5 |
| Project Details- 20% | Availability and Technical<br>Reliability (Technology<br>Specific)           | Project meets system need identified within the competitive solicitation.  | Project meets the system need identified in the RFP and the technology is dependable, commercially available, and proven and its integration will not cause reliability concerns to the network. Also, included here are heat rate and capacity estimates, availability guarantees, dispatchability (if applicable), safety, unplanned outage factor guarantee, fixed and variable O&M costs, start-up times and costs. | 15.0%  | Yes                    | Pass/Fail  |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Project Applicability and<br>Viability (Application<br>Specific/System Need) |  | Project meets the system need identified in the RFP and the technology has been proven and commercially available.  | 30.0%  | Yes                    | Pass/Fail  |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Timeliness   | Ability of meeting timeline established in the RFP including interconnection of the Facility.  |   | 20.0%  | Yes                    | Pass/Fail  |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Customer Contribution  | Evaluates the total customer contribution required with the Proposal(s)  | Percentage contribution required of<br>customer vs. the EDC. The lower the<br>customer contribution the higher the<br>rank.   | 15.0%  | No                     | Rank 1-5, 0% customer<br>contribution (CC)-5 points,<br>25% CC- 4 points, 50% CC-3<br>points, 75% CC- 2 Points, and<br>100% CC- 1 Point. |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Societal and Environmental Impacts   | Considers societal and environmental<br>benefits established through the Benefit<br>Cost Analysis Framework.   | The more societal and environmental impacts considered the higher the rank.   | 5.0%   | No                     | Rank 1-5, 0% customer<br>contribution (CC)-5 points,<br>25% CC- 4 points, 50% CC-3<br>points, 75% CC- 2 Points, and<br>100% CC- 1 Point. |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Proposal's Completeness  | completed per Contractor's Checklist<br>provided in RFP.   | Organized, well prepared, thorough.   | 15.0%  | Yes                    | Complete, organized - 5,<br>Complete, not organized 3,<br>low level of completion and<br>not organized 1                                 |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | Scoring  |  |   |        |                        |  | #VALUE!  | #VALUE!  | #VALUE!   | #VALUE!  | #VALUE!  |
|                      | Contractor Qualifications &<br>Demonstrated Experience                       | Whether the Contractor has demonstrated that their firm has the experience and expertise or the ability to provide subcontractors having the appropriate knowledge to perform the requested tasks. | Contractor, Engineering, Procurement and Construction ("EPC") contractor, prime subcontractors and, if applicable, Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") operator or other entity responsible for the development, construction, O&M of the proposed project. This may include their experience (demonstrated track record) in successfully developing and operating similar projects in North America and Canada;          | 25.0%  | Yes                    | Pass/Fail  |          |          |           |          |          |
|                      | I  | Acceptance of UI/Eversource Terms and  | 1   |        | 1                      |  |          |          |           |          |          |

25.0%

25.0%

100.0%

# of profitable quarters in last 3 years and ability of providing security.

BCA score of 1.0 or above will be considered cost effective.

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Pass/Fail

Pass/Fail

Pass/Fail

BCA result in percentage will be used as the weight above 1.

#VALUE!

#VALUE! #VALUE! #VALUE! #VALUE!

#VALUE! #VALUE! #VALUE!

Non-Wires Solutions Proposal Evaluation Matrix Version 2- January 7, 2025 The United Illuminating Company Eversource Energy

| Vendor Number | Vendor Name | Technical Score | BCA Score | Overall Score |  |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| 1             |             |                 |           |               |  |
| 2             |             |                 |           |               |  |
| 3             |             |                 |           |               |  |
| 4             |             |                 |           |               |  |
| 5             |             |                 |           |               |  |